

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,102	02/04/2004	Paul V. Cooper	23438.00001	3968
SOUIRE, SAN	7590 10/11/200 DERS & DEMPSEY I	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Two Renaissan Suite 2700		KASTLER, SCOTT R		
40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004-4498			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
				·
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,102	COOPER, PAUL V.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Kastler	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 and 24-32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

Art Unit: 1793

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/6/2007 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russian'401. Russian'401 teaches a device including an inlet structure (2) with a plurality of holes (6) though which molten metal can pass, and a displacement structure comprising rotor blades (4) attached to the inlet structure, where in use, the inlet structure and displacement structure rotate together, and the rotors acting to direct portions of the melt both downwardly and outwardly (since this limitation allows for the direction of any portion of the melt in these directions and some portion of the melt would be directed in this manner) thereby showing all aspects of the above claims since the manner or method of use of the claimed device (in a molten metal pump) cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit claims to the device itself. see MPEP 2114.

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 1 and 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Duenkelmann'060. Duenkelmann'060 teaches a structure which acts as a molten metal pump

(see col. 2 lines 49-51), including an inlet structure of a plurality of holes or openings and rotor

blades acting as displacement structures connected thereto each made of graphite or other

refractory ceramics (see col. 1 line 63 to col. 2 line 16 for example) where there can be 3 inlets

and rotor blades and the rotors acting to direct portions of the melt both downwardly and

outwardly (since this limitation allows for the direction of any portion of the melt in these

directions and some portion of the melt would be directed in this manner), where the device

includes a threaded connective portion (4) thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scheffler'133. Scheffler'133 teaches a molten metal pump including an inlet structure made of refractory ceramic (16) and attached displacement structures comprising rotor blades (41) which rotate along with the inlet structure, the rotors acting to direct portions of the melt both downwardly and outwardly (since this limitation allows for the direction of any portion of the melt in these directions and some portion of the melt would be directed in this manner) thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rawson et al'383. Rawson et al'383 teaches a molten metal pump (in the figure) including a motor (11), a pump base with a pump chamber (29) and discharge (30) in the form of a tangential molten metal conduit connected to the chamber (29) without cement, and a device including an inlet (20) with an opening, and a connected displacement structure (22, 24, 24A) including a rotor blade, the rotor acting to direct portions of the melt both downwardly and outwardly (since this

Art Unit: 1793

limitation allows for the direction of any portion of the melt in these directions and some portion of the melt would be directed in this manner) where the inlet structure is made of ceramic, and including a bearing surface (13) comprising one or more grooves, and a drive shaft (12) connecting the motor (11) to the device (20) allowing the inlet structure (20) and displacement device (22,24) to rotate together, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-22 and 24-32 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 9/6/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that claims 1-11 are now in condition for allowance since they now contain the allowable subject matter from claim 23 is not persuasive because as stated in the previous office action mailed on 3/6/2007, this claim is considered allowable if rewritten in independent form and containing all of the limitations of the claims (12 and 18) from which it depends. With respect to the above claims 1-11 these claims do not contain the limitations of independent claim 12.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793